

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.  
Alan L. Sullivan (3152)  
Todd M. Shaughnessy (6651)  
Amy F. Sorenson (8947)  
15 West South Temple, Suite 1200  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101-1004  
Telephone: (801) 257-1900  
Facsimile: (801) 257-1800

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP  
Evan R. Chesler (admitted pro hac vice)  
David R. Marriott (7572)  
Worldwide Plaza  
825 Eighth Avenue  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 474-1000  
Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff  
International Business Machines Corporation*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH**

THE SCO GROUP, INC.,

Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES  
CORPORATION,

Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff.

**EX PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO  
FILE OVERLENGTH MEMORANDUM  
IN OPPOSITION TO SCO'S  
OBJECTIONS TO THE MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE'S ORDER ON IBM'S MOTION  
TO CONFINE AND SCO'S MOTION TO  
AMEND ITS DECEMBER 2005  
SUBMISSION**

Civil No. 2:03CV-0294 DAK

Honorable Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge Brooke C. Wells

Pursuant to DUCivR 7-1(e), Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff International Business Machines Corporation (“IBM”) respectfully submits this Ex Parte Motion for Leave to File Overlength Memorandum in Opposition to SCO’s Objections to the Magistrate Judge’s Order on IBM’s Motion to Confine and SCO’s Motion to Amend its December 2005 Submission, consisting of approximately 22 pages of argument, exclusive of face sheet, table of contents and authorities, preliminary and fact statements, and appendices and exhibits.

With its objections, SCO has submitted an overlength memorandum nearly 34 pages in length which raises a variety of complaints spanning nearly the entire history of this case. In addition, SCO has submitted a separate motion to amend its December 22, 2005 Final Disclosures. Because both memoranda raise similar issues, IBM responds to both memoranda in a single opposition brief. While IBM has endeavored to respond to the issues raised in both briefs in succinct fashion, doing so fully and fairly in just one brief has necessitated approximately 12 additional pages of legal argument.

Based on the foregoing, IBM respectfully requests that it be granted leave to file its overlength Memorandum in Opposition to SCO’s Objections to the Magistrate Judge’s Order on IBM’s Motion to Confine and SCO’s Motion to Amend its December 2005 Submission, consisting of approximately 22 pages of argument.

DATED this 16th day of February, 2007.

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.

/s/ Amy F. Sorenson

Alan L. Sullivan

Todd M. Shaughnessy

Amy F. Sorenson

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

Evan R. Chesler

David R. Marriott

Of Counsel:

INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION

Alec S. Berman

1133 Westchester Avenue

White Plains, New York 10604

(914) 642-3000

*Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaim-Plaintiff*

*International Business Machines Corporation*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on the 16th day of February, 2007, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court and delivered by CM/ECF system to the following:

Brent O. Hatch  
Mark F. James  
HATCH, JAMES & DODGE, P.C.  
10 West Broadway, Suite 400  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Robert Silver  
Edward Normand  
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
333 Main Street  
Armonk, New York 10504

Stephen N. Zack  
Mark J. Heise  
BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP  
100 Southeast Second Street, Suite 2800  
Miami, Florida 33131

/s/ Amy F. Sorenson